

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-25-005158

|  |   |                                     |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| TEXAS MUSIC HOLDING COMPANY,<br>LLC; HEARD ENTERTAINMENT TEXAS,<br>LLC; 606 HOLDINGS, LLC; THE PARISH<br>AUSTIN LLC; THE PARISH AUSTIN II,<br>LLC; and STEPHEN STERNSCHEIN | § | IN THE DISTRICT COURT               |
| <i>Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants,</i>  | § |                                     |
| v.   | § | 459 <sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT |
| GLOBAL WORLDWIDE<br>INTERNATIONAL 3 LLC; GLOBAL<br>WORLDWIDE INTERNATIONAL 2 LLC;<br>and ANDREW SERNOVITZ  | § |                                     |
| <i>Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs.</i>  | § |                                     |
| DAVID MACHINIST;<br>ADVENTURES AGENCY INC.;<br>AA OPERATIONS LLC; and<br>VELVET TECHNOLOGY<br>SOLUTIONS TEXAS, LLC   | § |                                     |
| <i>Counter-Defendants</i>  | § | TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS                |

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS  
UNDER THE TEXAS CITIZENS PARTICIPATION ACT**

Came on for hearing Defendants Global Worldwide International 3 LLC (“GWI3”), Global Worldwide International 2 LLC (“GWI2”), and Andrew Sernovitz’s (“Sernovitz”) (collectively, the “Sernovitz Parties” or “Defendants”) Motion to Dismiss the causes of action alleged by Plaintiffs Stephen Sternschein (“Sternschein”), Texas Music Holding Company, LLC (“TMHC”), Heard Entertainment Texas, LLC (“Heard”), 606 Holdings, LLC (“606 Holdings”), The Parish Austin LLC, and The Parish Austin II, LLC (collectively, the “Plaintiffs” or “Sternschein Enterprises”). The Court, after considering the Motion to Dismiss, the evidence presented, the stipulations and arguments of counsel, and the other papers and pleadings in this cause, finds and concludes that the Motion to Dismiss should be and is hereby GRANTED.

The Court FINDS that Plaintiffs' legal actions set forth in the Original Petition are based on or in response to Defendants' exercise of the right of free speech and/or right to petition under Chapter 27 of the Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code.

The Court FURTHER FINDS that the Plaintiffs cannot by clear and specific evidence show a prima facie case for each essential element of their claims for breach of fiduciary duty or business disparagement, and those claims should be dismissed.

The Court FURTHER FINDS that the Plaintiffs' legal actions for breach of fiduciary duty and business disparagement were brought to deter or prevent the Defendants from exercising their constitutional rights, and brought for an improper purpose of harassing Defendants and/or to increase the cost of litigation, and that a sanction should be imposed in an amount that is sufficient to deter Plaintiffs from bringing similar actions described in Chapter 27 of the Civil Practice & Remedies Code in the future.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. The Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED and Plaintiffs' claims and causes of action against the Defendants are hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE;
2. The Defendants are awarded \$68,387.00 in attorneys' fees and costs reasonably incurred in defending against the legal actions brought by Plaintiffs that are the subject of the Motion to Dismiss, against the Plaintiffs, jointly and severally, under TCPA § 27.009(a)(1); in the event of an unsuccessful appeal by any Plaintiff to the intermediate court of appeals, Defendants are conditionally awarded \$24,000 in attorney's fees and costs; in the event the Texas Supreme Court requests briefing before denying a Petition for Review to the Texas Supreme Court by any Plaintiff for which the Supreme Court requests briefing, the Defendants are conditionally awarded \$24,000 in reasonable attorney's fees and costs; in the event of an unsuccessful appeal to the Texas Supreme Court by the Plaintiffs for which the Supreme Court requests full briefing on the merits, the Defendants are conditionally awarded \$24,000 in reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
3. The Defendants are awarded \$5,000 as sanctions, jointly and severally, against the Plaintiffs under TCPA § 27.009(a)(2).

All relief not specifically granted herein is hereby DENIED.

SIGNED on this 5th day of December, 2025.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. JESSICA MANGRUM,  
DISTRICT JUDGE